IJRESM
International Journal of Research in Engineering, Science and Management
Volume 7, Issue 10, October 2024
https://www.ijresm.com | ISSN (Online): 2581-5792

A Behavioral Analysis of Overconfidence Bias and Disposition Effect on Investors in Financial Markets

T. Sobha Rani[1], S. N. V. Sushmitha[2†], Ch. Shravani[3], A. Anusha[4*]

[1]*Professor, Department of Communication & Journalism, Sri Padmavati Mahila Visvavidyalayam, Tirupati, India*
[2]*Research Scholar, Department of Business Management, Sri Padmavati Mahila Visvavidyalayam, Tirupati, India*
[†]*Associate Professor, Department of MBA, V.K.R., V.N.B. & A.G.K. College of Engineering, Gudivada, India*
[3,4]*Assistant Professor, Department of MBA, V.K.R., V.N.B. & A.G.K. College of Engineering, Gudivada, India*

***Abstract*: Behavioral biases play an important role in determining a financial market decision-making process; they often make investors deviate from reasonable expectations. The disposition effect and the overconfidence bias have been examined in this research, further detailing the combined effects of such biases on investment behavior. Overconfidence bias often leads to excessive trading with underestimation of hazards, where people overestimate their knowledge and forecasting abilities. Loss aversion and emotional attachment dispose the investors to sell winners too early and hold losers too long. The study answers to what extent and how such effects bring forth investment decisions less than optimal and the related inefficiencies of the market using real data from transactions in the stock market. The results depict the fact that even overconfidence can increase the negative effects of the disposition effect and highlight that psychological factors are essential in portfolio performance. With respect to implications in risk appraisal, portfolio management strategies, and potential interventions aimed at mitigating the bias in financial decision-making, this behavioral analysis shines light on investor behavior. The study emphasizes the importance of bias understanding: both cognitive and affective, in order to develop more rational investing strategies for financial markets.**

***Keywords*: over confidence bias, disposition effect, financial markets, investment behavior.**

1. Introduction

Thus, financial markets are influenced by investor behavior - often driven by emotional and cognitive biases. Conventional finance theories assume that investors make rational choices, using only the information available to maximize profits in optimal ways. Behavioral finance challenges this concept because it demonstrates how psychological variables frequently cause deviation from rational behavior and, subsequently, alter market outcomes.

The two most common biases that most influence investor behavior are disposition effect and overconfidence bias. Overconfidence bias is overestimation of one's knowledge, abilities, and capacity to predict market moves. Overtrading, underestimation of risk, and too much exposure to risky assets often come out as a result of inflated confidence.

On the other hand, the disposition effect describes how investors tend to sell winning stocks too early in the cycle just to realize quick profits and hold on to losing stocks for a longer time in the hope of recouping losses. There are very strong influences of this behavior which engender heavy emotional considerations, including regret aversion and the fear of realizing losses when they would have otherwise improved the long-run performance of portfolios.

"A Behavioral Analysis of Overconfidence Bias and Disposition Effect in Financial Markets," is the title of this paper as it seeks to explore the workings of these biases in conjunction and, subsequent effects they have on investors' choices. The paper puts actual data from transactions in the stock market to observe how overconfidence enhances the negative effects of disposition effect leading to less-than-desirable outcomes. It is then important to know about these biases to formulate techniques that will help investors control their impact, which may lead to better decision-making and portfolio performance. The results also point out why it would be relevant to integrate behavioral insights into professional investment management and financial education for better investor success and market efficiency.

2. Review of Literature

According to Prosad, J.M. et.al, Indian markets are not as efficient as those in other developing nations when it comes to overconfidence and the disposition effect. One of the rare articles that offer empirical support for behavioral difficulties (such as overconfidence and the disposition effect) at the overall market level, as opposed to the individual investor level, is this one.

In the view of Liu, H., & Kan, F. (2021), the empirical findings, investors in the Taiwanese stock market do have a propensity for overconfidence and are susceptible to changes in the market environment. Market capitalization risk indicates that overconfident investors are not always more likely to own riskier stocks. Investors in the Taiwan stock market have a

[*]Corresponding author: anu.allu4@gmail.com

notable disposition effect in addition to overconfidence, suggesting that they are unable to make logical decisions about when to buy or sell their assets.

According to Candraningrat, I.R. (2019) et.al, The findings demonstrated that investment decisions were impacted by overconfidence. Some investors have a tendency to make decisions based on an overconfidence bias, indicating that they have a great deal of faith in their knowledge and aptitude for stock selection. The investors are certain that the outcomes will meet their expectations.

According to Praveen, S., (2019). Disposition effect, anchoring heuristic, and overconfidence bias impact investment decisions and returns in the Pakistan stock market.

3. Methodology of the Study

The study is based on secondary sources. The data gathered by using journals, articles and websites, research papers, periodicals and other sources.

4. Objectives

1) To explore the disposition effect among individual and institutional investors.
2) To identify the impact of over confidence bias on investors.

A. Influence of Over Confidence Bias on Investors

A cognitive distortion known as overconfidence bias occurs when investors think they are better at forecasting market moves or choosing the best investments. This may result from prior achievements, when people credit talent rather than chance for favorable results, making them think they can consistently perform at the same level.

1) Excessive Trading

Because they think they can pace the market or spot lucrative chances better than others, overconfident investors typically trade more frequently. Nonetheless, research has indicated that regular trading frequently results in reduced net returns and increased transaction expenses.

2) Risk Underestimation

Investors who are overconfident tend to underestimate the dangers involved in their investments. Assuming their projections will shield them from possible losses, they might take on too much risk without sufficiently diversifying their holdings.

3) Overestimation of Knowledge

Overconfident investors frequently think they have access to better data or analysis. This conviction pushes individuals to make audacious investment decisions that may not be backed by market or basic research.

4) Ignoring Unfavorable Signals

Because they think their approach will eventually win out, overconfident investors may minimize or disregard unfavorable signals, such as market downturns or subpar performance. This may lead to long-term losses.

B. Mitigating of Over Confidence Bias

Mitigating overconfidence bias in investment decisions is critical for investors to prevent poor decision-making, excessive risk-taking, and inferior performance. Overconfidence bias, which drives investors to overestimate their abilities, knowledge, and predictive power, can result in excessive trading, under-diversification, and ignoring market hazards.

Listed below are many techniques to help investors manage and eliminate overconfidence in their financial decisions:

1) Portfolio Diversification
2) Adopt Realistic Investment Goals and Expectations
3) Better to go for Long-Term Investment
4) Continuous Self-Assessment and Feedback
5) Take External Opinions and Professional Advice
6) Maintain Less Frequency of Trading
7) Risk Management

C. Disposition Effect Among Individual and Institutional Investors

The disposition effect refers to investors' proclivity to sell winning investments too soon and hold onto lost investments too long. This behavior results from an emotional link to gains and losses; investors are frequently overly ready to realise gains in order to lock in profits and reluctant to realize losses because of loss aversion. Institutional and individual investors are also susceptible to the disposition effect; however the extent and causes of its manifestation may differ.

D. Important Disposition Effect Aspects

1) Motivating Factors Investors on their own: Individual or retail investors frequently make decisions based more on their feelings. The psychological gratification of obtaining earnings, a fear of acknowledging losses, or a stronger personal tie to their investments may make them more prone to participate in the disposition effect.
2) Investors with institutions: While institutional investors, such as mutual funds, pension funds, and hedge funds, often use rational models and analytical frameworks, they are not immune to emotional biases. In order to prevent unfavorable assessments or harm to their reputation from stakeholders, institutional managers may postpone acknowledging losses.

E. Reducing the Impact of Disposition on Individual Investors

1) Education and Awareness

Individual investors can identify when they are falling victim to the disposition effect by raising their level of financial literacy and behavioural bias awareness.

2) Using Methodical Approaches

Stop-loss orders and specified selling procedures based on financial targets can help to avoid emotional decisions.

3) Portfolio Rebalancing

The inclination to hold onto losers and sell winners too soon can be resisted with regular portfolio rebalancing that is based on objective standards rather than sentimental connection.

5. Findings

More than behavioral researches on overconfidence bias and disposition effects in financial markets, these cognitive biases are the leading drivers of investing decisions away from rational behavior. Overconfidence is a pervasive cognitive bias, especially among individual investors, that may lead to excessive trading and subpar investing performance.

Overconfidence leads to overtrading, incomplete diversification of portfolios, and increased exposure to risk, with eventually lower outcomes. Investors sell winners too soon and hold losers too long due to disposition effect, which further depresses the portfolio's overall performance by limiting gains and prolonging losses. Overconfidence and disposition effect lead to significant underperformance in financial markets through increased transaction costs, bad timing, and missed profit-taking opportunities.

6. Suggestions

Improving financial literacy can lessen the influence of emotions on investment decisions and help investors avoid typical mistakes such as overconfidence and holding lost positions too long. Financial advisors should actively seek to reduce their clients' biases by emphasizing long-term investment strategies and offering behavioral feedback. Diversification decreases the risk of overconfidence in individual assets, resulting in a more balanced approach to portfolio management. Automation helps to reduce emotional reactions and bias, resulting in more disciplined and objective investing decisions. Regular reflection promotes self-awareness and allows investors to learn from past mistakes, reducing the impact of overconfidence bias and the disposition effect. Behavioral audits help ensure that institutional investors use rational decision-making processes, lowering the harmful impact of biases on large-scale investments.

7. Conclusion

The behavioral examination of overconfidence bias and the disposition effect shows that these cognitive biases have a major impact on the decision-making processes of both individual and institutional investors. Overconfidence leads to excessive trading, under-diversification, and risk mismanagement, whereas the disposition effect drives investors to sell winning equities too early and hang onto bad ones for too long. Together, these biases frequently lead to inferior investing outcomes, lower returns, and higher market volatility. Understanding these biases is critical for developing effective financial strategies. Investors must recognize the psychological drivers that influence their decisions and execute ways to counteract them, such as diversifying portfolios, using automated decision-making tools, and pursuing long-term investing plans. Financial education, behavioral coaching, and frequent portfolio reviews can all help investors make more reasonable, informed decisions while avoiding the pitfalls of emotional investment. Finally, by addressing overconfidence bias and the disposition effect, individual and institutional investors can improve decision-making, optimize portfolio performance, and contribute to increased market efficiency. As the science of behavioral finance evolves, recognizing and overcoming these biases will remain critical for long-term financial success.

References

[1] Prosad, J.M., Kapoor, S., Sengupta, J., & Roychoudhary, S. (2017). Overconfidence and Disposition Effect in Indian Equity Market: An Empirical Evidence. *Global Business Review,* 19, 1303-1321.

[2] Liu, H., & Kan, F. (2021). Overconfidence Behavior and Disposition Effect of Investors in Taiwan Stock Market: Another Features to Visit. *Journal of Finance and Economics*.

[3] Zuckerman, R. (2009). Investor Overconfidence, Turnover, Volatility and the Disposition Effect: A Study Based on Price Target Updates. *ERN: Behavioral Economics (Topic)*.

[4] Candraningrat, I.R., & Sakir, A. (2019). Behavioural Biases of Overconfidence and Disposition Effect and their Impact on Investment Decision in the Indonesian Capital Market. *Proceedings of the 2019 International Conference on Organizational Innovation (ICOI 2019)*.

[5] Parveen, S., & Siddiqui, M.A. (2019). Anchoring Heuristic, Disposition Effect and Overconfidence Bias in Investors: A Case of Pakistan Stock Exchange.

[6] Candraningrat, I.R., & Sakir, A. (2019). Behavioural Biases of Overconfidence and Disposition Effect and their Impact on Investment Decision in the Indonesian Capital Market. *Proceedings of the 2019 International Conference on Organizational Innovation (ICOI 2019)*.

[7] Parveen, S., Siddique, M., & Malik, N.Y. (2016). Exploring Disposition Effect and Overconfidence in Pakistani Investors in KSE Listed Sectors. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting,* 7, 72-85.

[8] Candraningrat, I.R., & Sakir, A. (2019). Behavioural Biases of Overconfidence and Disposition Effect and their Impact on Investment Decision in the Indonesian Capital Market. *Proceedings of the 2019 International Conference on Organizational Innovation (ICOI 2019)*.

[9] Annaert, J., Heyman, D.P., Vanmaele, M., & Osselaer, S.V. (2008). Disposition Bias and Overconfidence in Institutional Trades.

[10] Barber, B.M., & Odean, T. (2000). The Courage of Misguided Convictions: The Trading Behavior of Individual Investors. *Behavioral & Experimental Finance*.

[11] Zahera, S.A., & Bansal, R. (2019). A study of prominence for disposition effect: a systematic review. *Qualitative Research in Financial Markets*.

[12] Mushinada, V.N., & Veluri, V.S. (2018). Investors overconfidence behaviour at Bombay Stock Exchange. *International Journal of Managerial Finance*.

[13] Kaustia, M. (2011). 10. Disposition Effect.

[14] Lin, H. (2011). Elucidating the Influence of Demographics and Psychological Traits on Investment Biases. World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology, International Journal of Social, Behavioral, Educational, Economic, Business and Industrial Engineering, 5, 424-429.

[15] Prosad, J.M., Kapoor, S., & Sengupta, J. (2015). Behavioral biases of Indian investors: a survey of Delhi-NCR region. *Qualitative Research in Financial Markets,* 7, 230-263.

[16] Urooj, S.F., Zafar, N., & Sindhu, M.I. (2019). Overconfidence Bias: Empirical Examination of Trading Turnover and Market Returns. *Global Social Sciences Review*.

[17] Lin, H. (2011). Elucidating rational investment decisions and behavioral biases: Evidence from theTaiwanese stock market. *African Journal of Business Management,* 5, 1630-1641.

[18] Durand, R.B., Newby, R., Tant, K.G., & Trepongkaruna, S. (2013). Overconfidence, overreaction and personality. *Review of Behavioral Finance,* 5, 104-133.

[19] Kanojia, D.S., Singh, D.D., & Goswami, A. (2018). An empirical analysis of the factors influencing individual investors in the Indian Stock market.

[20] Aguila, N.D. (2011). Behavioral Finance: Learning From Market Anomalies and Psychological Factors, pp. 47-104.